U.H.J. intimated to you our then and present Opinions "that if we were Calvert Paper in the least Backward in consulting our own Safety as well as we No. 735 could against all possible Accidents, our Offer of furnishing Money to encourage Levies for his Majestys Service abroad, would be rather thought to proceed from a pretended Zeal, than Duty to his Majesty, since it might be interpreted, we did not think Our Preservation or Happiness consisted in any Defence against the French, and that the more men and money we spared for one Service, the less we might have for the other, and consequently this Province might be the easier prey to an invading Enemy;" And further Gentlemen you will be pleased to remember, that for the sake of a Bill to encourage the Forces, we receeded from our first Resolution and made choice of one of your Alternatives.

Our mention of the Statutes was to shew (what cannot be de-

sired) that Money Acts of Parliament were often limited to a certain Time and to the end of the next Session; and in order to evade the Force of our Applying the Usage in such Acts to this Bill, you would liken this Bill to some Acts of Parliament, without which you say, the Government of Great Britain could not subsist, and from thence conclude, that the necessity of this Act for Arms &c. if limited to a determined time, might secure the frequency of Assemblies, as some Acts of Parliament make Annual Sessions of Parliament necessary: Indeed Gentlemen, whosoever believes or can be perswaded that your Comparison is just, knows very little of p. 61 our Constitution; Surely this Government can, as long as this Province is safe subsist without this Act, how does this Act relate to this Government either in time of Peace or War, any otherwise than as it furnishes this Government with some money for its Defence and our common Preservation, whenever Occasions may require the use of it; This Governmt has not any number of Soldiers, Guards or Garrisons or Navy to maintain in times of Peace; and therefore if you would really Convince any Person of the Justness of your Reason, you must shew that the Purposes, to which the Government applies the money arising from the Act of Arms; &c are so absolutely necessary to the Being or Support of the Government, independent of the necessary Defence of the Province, as that they cannot be without it; a Proof of this you have not attempted, for it is very well known this Government does not make use of that money, but really (as you say) has hoarded it up, (and we think it wisely) for such like Exigencies as the present Appearance of affairs threaten us with: Before we leave this Point, let us consider it even in your own light; if such An Act is so absolutely necessary (as you pretend) to the Being and Subsistence of the Government, our Attachment to it can be no Surprize, nor unreasonable, especially when under the Alarms of a dangerous War last Year, you absolutely refused to revive it.